

By the Judgement of 13 May 2020 No. 24-II the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation appraised constitutionality of Articles 26.9 and 26.10, Article 28.6, Section 3 and Article 29.10, Section 6 of the Code of Administrative Offences of the Russian Federation

The challenged provisions were the subject of consideration to the extent that they serve the basis - in conjunction with other provisions of the Code – for resolving the issue of admissibility of attributing (by the law of a territorial entity of the Russian Federation) to administrative commission of cases on the administrative offenses established by the territorial entity of the Russian Federation in the field of land improvement, committed using a vehicle and recorded with automatic mode of special technical means having the function of photographing and filming, video recording, or the means of photography and filming, video recording.

These provisions were recognised as not contradicting the Constitution of the Russian Federation, because in the system of existing legal regulation they establish that the resolution in the manner established by them of the specified category of cases can be attributed by the law of the territorial entity of the Russian Federation to the jurisdiction of administrative commissions formed on the basis of the entity's law, and that drawn up in in the form of an electronic document, the decision in the case of an administrative offense issued by the administrative commission is signed by qualified electronic signature of its authorised official.