

By the Judgment of 1st July, 2015 No. 18-II/2015 the Constitutional Court gave interpretation of Articles 96 (Section 1) and 99 (Sections 1, 2 and 4) of the Constitution of the Russian Federation

The normative provisions contained in these Articles were the subject-matter of interpretation with regard to the question whether they admit single insignificant contraction with constitutionally significant objects of the five-year term of office for which the State Duma of current calling was elected.

The Constitutional Court has decided that the said provisions of the Constitution of the Russian Federation in the interconnection with its other provisions do not exclude the possibility of single alteration by the federal law of the date of periodical elections, leading to contraction of the real (factual) term of office of the State Duma of current calling, under the condition that such contraction is carried out with constitutionally significant objects, in advance, entails no deviations from reasonable periodicity of holding periodical elections of the State Duma and continuity of its activity and is minimally insignificant.